

I would ask that the bill be returned for adoption of this amendment. Thank you.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Chairman and members of the Legislature, I just got a copy of the letter written by the Director of the Department of Motor Vehicles and I am trying to digest it and listen to what Senators Hoagland and Peterson have said. I wonder if they would be willing to let the bill be passed over so that we can be satisfied as to what it is we are doing because I see a paragraph that is interesting in her letter. By her, I mean the Director of the Department of Motor Vehicles. "Even though an independent penalty provision is contained within the Financial Responsibility Law and the point statute...", and then she gives the citations, "the Final Reading version of LB 356 has the potential of conflicting with current statutes. It is clear, however, that the criminal penalty for driving after an administrative implied consent revocation has been abolished." I am not sure that all of this is correct so I am wondering if they would mind laying the bill over because even if you return it, it wouldn't be read today so this wouldn't necessarily delay it longer than it would be delayed anyway. But it would give the opportunity for us to evaluate and see just what we are doing because what I want to be certain of, and some of you may not feel the same way, is that through offering an amendment to this bill even though there are penalty provisions in the sections related to what she has talked about, I want to be sure that a new authority is not being given to the Director that may not be there now. And I haven't had time to look at the statutes she cited, nor completely evaluate the letter that she has written. So I am wondering if they would be willing to lay the bill over. Then I would make a motion to that effect.

SENATOR PETERSON: I guess I would have to ask the Speaker a question. I would be willing to lay it over till we got done with the last bill on Final Reading, 705, that I think maybe that gives Senator Chambers time to evaluate, but most certainly I think we should go ahead with it then.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Do I still have time?

SPEAKER NICHOL: Yes, sir.